

Response to Camille Larae McDonald – August 26, 2021

TO:
Camille Capaldo-McDonald
1162G South Cedar Crest Blvd
Allentown, PA 18103

TO:
laraesunshine@yahoo.com
camille_larae@hotmail.com
ccapaldo@bellsouth.net
mcdonaldcamille@ymail.com

Aliases: Camille Mattiola (birth name), Camille Capaldo (non-legal alias), Camille McDonald (former married name). She seems to use the latter two names for most purposes.

CC:
Edward Capaldo <princeslexy@yahoo.com>
Stan Baker <sbaker@demaximis.com>
Sean Crissman <sscissman2002@yahoo.com>
Mark Allard <04.till.infinity@gmail.com>
Ghenovs <rlghenov@att.net>
Rachel Fretz <rachelfretz@yahoo.com>
Ray Gustafson <meltmyface13@gmail.com>

Street address is unconfirmed

Email addresses are unconfirmed

Current websites:

Pending websites (not online yet):

<https://overcomers.group/>

<https://camillecapaldo.com/>
<https://camillemcdonald.com/>

Edward and Stan, if you're in communication with Camille McDonald, please forward this letter to the person in question.

Ms. McDonald aka Ms. Capaldo, good day.

This is a PDF consisting of 3 numbered pages not counting any cover letter. If you didn't receive 3 numbered pages, you don't have a complete copy.

1. For the purposes of process service and other document deliveries, may I ask: which street address is more correct, the South Cedar Crest Blvd address in Allentown, PA or the Hamlet Drive address in MacUngie, PA that the 2009 Toyota Matrix was registered at?

The MacUngie address is believed to be valid as that is your mother Leah's address but I assume that you're actually located at the Allentown address.

If you're in communication with your mother, by the way, please say Hello. Your mother is, of course, the first person who warned me that you were a potential Sociopath. She told me to look up the definitions of Sociopath and Psychopath online and that I might find it interesting.

2. I'm in receipt of a voicemail message which transcribes as follows: "I've already called my attorney. I'm going to the police. Take it down now or you're going to be facing serious trouble. Do it now."

My assumption is that the voicemail message was left by you. If this isn't the case, feel free to correct the assumption.

3. A threat to commit a crime can be treated as a crime. And you've threatened to commit the crime of false police report.

What would the basis for a police report be? Criminal harassment? You weren't even named in the book that you seem to be referring to. You didn't even know about the book, it appears, until the first draft was the better part of a year old.

As you've also stated that you've consulted with an attorney – with the clear implication of intention to commit abuse of process, which can also be treated as a crime, the response to your explicit and implied threats needs to be unambiguous.

4. No, nothing will be taken down. No, you can't make that happen through abuse of process. No, you can't try for a R.O. and hope to force me to sign a gag order as a condition of getting out from under that. This is the first time that I've communicated with you in 7 years and you initiated this exchange. No, the book wasn't indirect communication.

5. Do I need to remind you that you beat me up in May 2009 to the extent that my face was bloody and that communication after that wasn't really something that I sought?

If I recall correctly, we didn't speak again after Fall 2009 except as follows:

* You phoned me in 2011 to ask me for copies of a semi-musical performance that you'd given at a club. "Cakewalk" files.

* Right after that, you phoned me again and said that Chris McDonald, your ex-husband, was "trying to get the kids back". You asked me to go to Court to support your bid to keep the children. These were, of course, the same children that you'd asked me to babysit while you were engaged in prostitution. I declined to state in Court that you'd be better for them than Chris would be.

* I emailed you the music files and an explanation of my position on your custody bid.

* I contacted you at Facebook in late 2012 and offered to make amends for my role in the failure of the relationship. We chatted off and on at Facebook through January 2013 and again during the Christmas Season in December 2013.

* In 2014, I tried to reach you about a medical issue. I needed to ask you if you'd had a particular sexually transmitted disease in 2009.

You've got to admit, nobody would be surprised if it turned out that you'd had most of the STDs known to medical science and were a trailblazer in terms of new STDs as well. However, I don't know if that message reached you or not.

* In 2015, I noticed a woman at a grocery store in Quakertown who was behaving oddly. She was on the phone and sort of "ducking" at the same time. It seemed as though she didn't want me to see her. I thought that that might be you.

That was it for the 12 years from 2010 to 2021. More to the point, honestly, Camille, if anybody is going to go to prison here, it's going to be you.

It's surprising that you made it through all of the drug issues without a lengthy sentence. You probably shouldn't press your luck again.

6. Pleased be advised the book editor is in the process of setting up mirror sites. He doesn't answer to me in this context and the mirrors will go up regardless of my wishes.

The editor says I should tell you that the following two domain names have been registered and that mirror sites should be online there in the medium term:

camillecapaldo.com and camillemcdonald.com

No, you have no say regarding the use of the domain names. The editor will explain the relevant laws to you if you wish.

7. The book editor doesn't represent me. However, he can and will speak for himself as things proceed. He'd like any attorney who's foolish enough to represent you to know that he's able to spell "barratry" and that he considers attorneys to be a crunchy and nutritious part of a complete breakfast.

8. Please be advised that verbal or written communications from you or any other party related to your demand or, actually, anything else, may be recorded, posted online, snail-mailed to third parties, blogged, quoted, discussed, and otherwise made use of.

In short, confidentiality isn't going to be a thing here.

There are no relevant legal avenues that can be used to prevent such use and the result of attempts to seek such avenues will be embarrassment. The idea that threats, for example, are protected by intellectual property laws will be mocked publicly.

9. Note the use of the word "recorded" in the previous part. Be advised that phone calls may be recorded and transcribed.

The advisory isn't required, to be clear, for voicemail and the voicemail of August 25, 2021 has been posted publicly.

10. DMCA filings in the current matter will be contested. Additionally, the first sign of such a filing may be followed by mass duplication of websites and/or affected content by third parties. I can't say as such duplication won't be under my control. See: Streisand Effect.

As a related note, improper use of DMCA may be treated as a prosecutable crime.

11. The fact that you weren't named in the first draft of the book didn't constitute an implied commitment not to name you.

In fact, the explicit and implied threats that you've made necessitate the disclosure of your name. One legitimate and reasonable context for such disclosure will be the posting of correspondence and other materials related to this interaction which you yourself initiated voluntarily.

In short, you've decided to add a new and unexpected chapter to the book. This was your decision and yours alone.

(end of document)